

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/653,406	09/01/2000	Jennifer L. West	RICE 100 7133		
75	90 07/20/2004		EXAMINER		
Kilpatrick Stockton LLP			FUBARA, BLESSING M		
John S Pratt 1100 Peachtree Street N.E.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Suite 2800			1615		
Atlanta, GA 3	0309-4530		DATE MAILED: 07/20/2004	DATE MAILED: 07/20/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/653,406	WEST ET AL.				
Advisory Notion	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Blessing M. Fubara	1615				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	:s			
THE REPLY FILED 24 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIST Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ition. A proper reply to n places the application	o a n in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the content o	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply of the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. Se R 1.136(a) and the appropri unt of the fee. The appropr priginally set in the final Offi	e MPEP iate extension riate extension ice action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mater	rially reducing or simpl	lifying the			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed am	iendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT p	lace the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were no	ewly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		·				
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. \square The drawing correction filed on is a) \square appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·				
10. Other:						

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Originally recited claims recite the macromer composition, and not a "complex between the macromer and the NO or NO modulating compound." This limitation now introduced will require further consideration and search.

Gollamudi S. Kishore, PhD Primary Examiner

Group 1600